

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 30, 1999 LB 822, 870

you're recognized to speak on the Beutler amendment, number 1068.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. President, members of the body, there's been everything been said on this amendment already, but I do stand to oppose it. A couple things that I think we should bring out. They're talking about these chemicals. NRDs already are working on that, along with animal waste, with the buffer zones that we have put in place here a year ago, and so that's something that I think that hasn't been brought out yet. So I did...I do not like to see the word "chemicals" in there. And I think we're expanding this bill too far for what it was really originally put together for. It was originally put together, the bill was, to protect the Long Pine Creek, and then also we had one amendment that went to it that would grandfather the people in that's already there. And then now it's been expanded and expanded, and I think a lot of the issues we're talking about here is going to be addressed in (LB) 870 when it comes along to follow up as a clean-up bill to 1209. So, I think we need to narrow it down, just back to the bill like we started. And Game and Parks Commission, I don't understand why they should be in there as a consultant. And another thing, on line 8 of the amendment that we're going back to the words again "directly" and "indirectly". I guess I never.... I don't think we ever really decided what that "indirectly" really amounted to yesterday, when we was talking to it. But, with that conversation that went on it already, I'm going to stand up and oppose this amendment, and I hope the rest of you do, too. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Jones. Senator Beutler, you're recognized to speak on your amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, you know, the main purpose of this amendment is to simply say and suggest the kinds of coordination and the things that should happen, if, if you discover that the trout streams are deteriorating. But what I'm hearing here is that nobody is interested in monitoring them, because they certainly aren't going to be monitored, except rarely, maybe once every 20 years under the current system. So therefore, if you don't know there's a problem, how you going to do something about it? And